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TO THE SUPERIOR COURT AND TO THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY OF SANTA CLARA COUNTY:

 The COVID-19 Pandemic places [CLIENT] at serious risk of physical, psychological, and emotional harm, such that the Division of Juvenile Facilities (for the purposes of this petition, the more commonly used name, “DJJ” will be used) commitment in this case fails to provide treatment consistent with Welfare and Institutions Code section 734[[1]](#footnote-1): DJJ does not probably benefit [CLIENT]. To the contrary, every day [CLIENT] spends at DJJ places [him, her, them] in great peril. [His, Her, Their] continued confinement makes it significantly more likely that [he, she, they] will contract the COVID-19 virus and become gravely ill, and/or spread it to someone else.

 Accordingly, this Court should vacate the DJJ commitment under section 779.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Respectfully submitted,

[Attorney signature] \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ [Attorney name] \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
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Attorney for \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

[youth’s name and last initial]

SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ COUNTY

IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| In The Matter Of: [youth’s name and last initial],  A Minor. People Of The State Of California, Plaintiff, v.[youth’s name and last initial], Defendant. | Case No.: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**petition TO VACATE DIVISION OF JUVENILE FACILITIES disposition due to covid-19 PANDEMIC (Welf. & Inst. Code, § 779);** **MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES, AND DECLARATION IN SUPPORT** |

**MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

1. **Statement of the Case**

[CLIENT] is presently [AGE] years old. [He, She, They] was first adjudged a ward on [DATE] based upon two sustained section 602 petitions – Petition A ([OFFENSES]) and Petition B ([OFFENSES]). The court placed [CLIENT] on formal probation and subjected to several conditions including that [he, she, they] \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_. (CITE.)

[CLIENT] is presently [AGE] years old. [He, She, They] was first adjudged a ward on [DATE] based upon two sustained section 602 petitions – Petition A ([OFFENSE(S)]) and Petition B ([OFFENSE(S)]). The court placed [CLIENT] on formal probation and subjected to several conditions including that [he, she, they] \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_. (CITE.)

On [DATE], a subsequent wardship petition (Petition C) alleged that [CLIENT] committed [WIC 707 OFFENSE(S)].

On [DATE], [CLIENT] admitted all allegations on Petitions C.

On [DATE], a contested dispositional hearing was held. After taking the matter under consideration, the court adopted the probation department’s recommendation that [CLIENT] be committed to the Division of Juvenile Facilities (for the purposes of this petition, the more commonly used name, “DJJ” will be used) with a maximum period of confinement of \_\_ years (§ 731, subd. (c)), with \_\_\_ days of custody credits.

Presently, [CLIENT] remains on track for his targeted release date of \_\_\_\_\_, 202\_. He has engaged in \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_. According to his current parole officer, [CLIENT] is at Level \_\_\_ at the DJJ.

From [DATE OF MOST RECENT ARREST]to [CURRENT DATE], [CLIENT] has been in custody for \_\_\_\_ consecutive days, or \_\_ year(s), \_\_ months, and \_\_ days. From [DATE OF TRANSPORT TO DJJ] to [CURRENT DATE], [CLIENT] has completed \_\_\_\_ days, or \_\_ months and \_\_ days at DJJ. Upon his release, [CLIENT] will reside with his parents and \_\_\_ siblings. (Exhibit 1: Declaration of ATTORNEY [hereafter, “ATTORNEY Decl.”], ¶#.)

1. **The COVID-19 Pandemic, Placing [CLIENT] At** **Serious Risk Of Physical, Psychological, And Emotional Harm, Justifies Immediate Setting Aside Of The Dispositional Order In This Case**

Section 779 authorizes this Court to set aside an order of commitment to DJJ (formerly California Youth Authority). The statute allows “the court to change, modify, or set aside an order of commitment after a noticed hearing and upon a showing of good cause that the Youth Authority is unable to, or failing to, provide treatment consistent with Section 734.” For its part, section 734 provides: “No ward of the juvenile court shall be committed to the Youth Authority unless the judge of the court is fully satisfied that the mental and physical condition and qualifications of the ward are such as to render it probable that he will be benefited by the reformatory educational discipline or other treatment provided by the Youth Authority [DJJ].”

As discussed below, the COVID-19 Pandemic places [CLIENT] at serious risk of physical, psychological, and emotional harm, such that the DJJ commitment fails to provide treatment consistent with section 734: DJJ will no longer probably benefit [CLIENT]. To the contrary, every day [CLIENT] spends at DJJ places him in great peril. As such, this Court should vacate the DJJ commitment.

Here, as of [CURRENT DATE], there are more than \_\_\_ million confirmed cases of COVID-19 worldwide and over \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Deaths.[[2]](#footnote-2) The United States has the highest number of confirmed cases in the world with \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ confirmed cases and \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ confirmed deaths as of [CURRENT DATE].[[3]](#footnote-3) No one knows precisely how many Americans will perish in this pandemic, but it may reach more than two million fatalities.[[4]](#footnote-4)

 California today is under a state of emergency due to the spread of the novel coronavirus and COVID-19, the deadly disease it causes. Like the rest of the country and the world, the State is bracing for the potentially catastrophic ravages of this pandemic.

In March, the Governor took significant steps to flatten the curve of new cases before hospitals were overwhelmed and the death toll skyrocketed, as it has elsewhere.[[5]](#footnote-5) (Newsom March 19, 2020 Executive Order N-33-20.) The Governor’s order requires all California residents to stay home, except to facilitate certain authorized activities, and to keep a distance of at least six feet apart at all times. [[6]](#footnote-6)

On May 8, 2020, the state entered Stage 2 of a four-stage process to reopen and transitioned into Stage 3 on June 12, 2020.[[7]](#footnote-7) However, by the end of June, California took a major step backward in reopening when significant spikes in COVID-19 infections prompted the Governor to order tougher restrictions on indoor activities in a majority of the state.[[8]](#footnote-8)

Early in the Pandemic, Governor Newsom seemed to recognize the specific risk to incarcerated people by issuing Executive Order, N-36-20, halting all movement by prisoners from county jails and juvenile halls to adult prisons and DJJ for 30 days, with the ability to extend that order in 30 day increments as deemed necessary. (Newsom March 24, 2020 Executive Order N-36-20.)

The Governor allowed CDCR to resume intake into its adult reception centers on May 25[[9]](#footnote-9) and into its youth facilities the following day.[[10]](#footnote-10) However, on June 29, 2020, CDCR once again suspended intake into its adult facilities through July 29, 2020[[11]](#footnote-11) when the weekly count of new infections among inmates sharply rose from 238 during the week of June 7, 2020 to 1,029 in the week of June 21, 2020.[[12]](#footnote-12) As of the date of this motion, CDCR is reporting a total \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ confirmed cases of COVID-19 among its inmate population in 24 adult facilities; \_\_\_\_\_\_ of these case have resolved and \_\_ have resulted in death.[[13]](#footnote-13) There have been \_\_ confirmed cases and at least one death[[14]](#footnote-14) among CDCR staff working at \_\_ different facilities and worksites.[[15]](#footnote-15)

In all, CDCR has been slow to undertake the early release of its inmate population despite the growing threat. In April, it released 3,500 inmates within 60 days of their parole date, but did not undertake further early releases until early this month.[[16]](#footnote-16) In the interim, among the mitigation measures CDCR undertook was to move inmates, thought to be COVID-free, from overcrowded facilities suffering large outbreaks to facilities where no COVID had been detected.[[17]](#footnote-17) As a result, CDCR triggered a massive outbreak at a previously COVID-free San Quentin State Prison after it transferred some 121 prisoners from California Institution for Men, where nine had died and nearly 700 had been infected.[[18]](#footnote-18) To date, more than 1,399 of the 3500 prisoners at San Quentin have become infected with COVID-19.[[19]](#footnote-19)

To appellant’s knowledge, DJJ has not paused its youth intake despite the fact that the first youth in its facilities tested positive for COVID-19 on June 15, 2020,[[20]](#footnote-20) with a total of \_\_\_ youth testing positive as of [CURRENT DATE].[[21]](#footnote-21) Given CDCR’s apparently inability to control the spread of the virus within many of its facilities, it is only a matter of time before all its youth facilities experience an outbreak of COVID-19 among its youth and staff.

1. **Continued Confinement At DJJ Places [CLIENT] At Serious Risk Of Physical Harm**

There is no vaccine for COVID-19, and there is no cure. (Exhibit 1: Declaration of Marc Stern, ¶ 4.) No one has prior immunity. (*Ibid*.) It is easily transmissible—spreading “through droplets generated when an infected person coughs or sneezes, or through droplets of saliva or discharge from the nose.” (*Ibid*.) It is believed “that a significant amount of transmission may be from people who are infected but asymptomatic or pre-symptomatic.” (*Id*., at ¶ 5.) Once a person has been exposed to the virus, she may show symptoms within as little as two days, and her condition might “seriously deteriorate in as little as five days (perhaps sooner) after that.” (*Ibid*.) The effects of COVID-19 are very serious and can include severe respiratory illness, major organ damage, and, for a significant number of people, death. (*Id*., at ¶ 7.)

In about 19 percent of cases, COVID-19 illness is severe, including pneumonia with respiratory failure, septic shock, multiorgan failure, and even death. Some people are at higher risk of getting severely sick from this illness, including people who have serious chronic medical conditions like asthma, lung disease, and diabetes, and those who are immunocompromised. There are currently no antiviral drugs licensed by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration to treat COVID-19, or post-exposure prophylaxis to prevent infection once exposed. (Exhibit 3: Physicians for Criminal Justice Reform [hereafter, “Physicians”], *COVID-19 Risks for Detained and Incarcerated Youth*, March 22, 2020, at p. 2.) “Community spread is in the U.S., and staff at juvenile facilities have tested positive for COVID-19. The number of cases is growing exponentially, and health systems are already being strained.” (Exhibit 3, at p. 2.)

Infectious diseases like COVID-19 are spread by sharing or touching objects used by others, and the diseases are spread through the air or by touch. Controlling the spread of the virus by limiting person to person contact is critical to saving lives. This is very challenging in confinement because people are detained in congregate environments (places where people live and sleep in close proximity). Social distancing in ways that are recommended by public health officials can be difficult, if not impossible, in this environment, and they are sharing or touching objects used by others. For these reasons, if – but more likely when – COVID-19 is introduced into a detention environment, the risks of spread is greatly, if not exponentially, increased as already evidenced by spread of COVID-19 in two other congregate environments: nursing homes and cruise ships. (Exhibit 1: Declaration of Marc Stern, ¶ 8.)

“COVID-19 cases have already been confirmed in detention facilities in which young people live in close quarters, which have subpar infection control measures in place, and whose population represents some of the most vulnerable. In this setting, we can expect spread of COVID-19 in a manner similar to that at the Life Care Center of Kirkland, Washington, at which over 50 percent of residents have tested positive for the virus and over 20 percent have died in the past month.” (Exhibit 3: Physicians at p. 2.)

Dr. Craig W. Haney, Distinguished Professor of Psychology and UC Presidential Chair at the University of California Santa Cruz, observes: “Juvenile facilities in particular lack the operational capacity to address the needs of youth in custody in a crisis of this magnitude. They do not have the resources needed to provide youth with ready access to cleaning and sanitation supplies, or to ensure that staff sanitize all potentially contaminated surfaces during the day. Most lack the capacity to provide more than minimal emergency mental health or medical care. Yet the demand for such services in this crisis will grow, stretching already scarce treatment resources even further.” (Exhibit 2: Declaration of Craig W. Haney, ¶8.) Dr. Haney adds, “juvenile facilities cannot readily protect youth from contact with staff who regularly enter facilities after having been in the outside world. Staff members are at risk of contracting COVID-19 and then transmitting it to both youth and other staff inside.” (*Ibid*.)

Since congregate environments place people at substantial risk of illness and death, reducing the number of individuals housed in detention facilities immediately is necessary for the health and safety of the of those facilities and our communities. (Exhibit 1 (Stern) at ¶19-20; Exhibit 2: Haney, ¶17-18)

According to Maureen Washburn, Policy Analyst for the Center on Juvenile and Criminal Justice, “Youth at DJJ are highly susceptible to contagious illnesses given the facilities’ structure and large populations (Each facility holds over 150 youth.) They have daily physical contact with one another, particularly in large open dormitory units, and are subjected to substandard conditions within their living units, including communal bathroom areas.”[[22]](#footnote-22) “It is simply a matter of time before COVID-19 arrives at DJJ. Prison walls and guard towers do not stop the spread of disease. They accelerate it. The longer we wait before drastically reducing DJJ’s population and implementing safety measures, the greater the potential harm to youth and staff.” (*Ibid*.)

Community spread is in the U.S., and staff at juvenile facilities have tested positive for COVID-19. The number of cases is growing exponentially, and health systems are already being strained. Social distancing measures recommended by the Centers for Disease Control are nearly impossible in detention and correctional facilities, and testing remains largely unavailable. In facilities that are already crowded, large scale quarantines, which means isolation in many facilities, is neither feasible nor humane.

Despite early observations that young people are not contracting the Coronavirus, and even if they are, they do not suffer any physical harm as a result, a recent epidemiological study of pediatric COVID-19 indicates that the virus poses a greater risk of severe illness to children (ages 0 to 18 years) than scientists once realized.[[23]](#footnote-23) In what is thought to be “the first retrospective study on the epidemiological characteristics and transmission dynamics of children’s COVID-19 in China,” researchers found that children were clearly contracting COVID-19 through person-to-person transmission.[[24]](#footnote-24) Moreover, among the children tracked in the study, nearly six percent developed severe to critical cases of COVID-19.[[25]](#footnote-25) Although the study showed that children (5.9%) were less likely than their adult counterparts (18.5%) to develop the most concerning cases of the disease, the study clearly demonstrates that the current pandemic poses a significant risk of harm to younger persons.[[26]](#footnote-26)

More recently it has become apparent that children and youth 21 years and younger, who have been exposed to or infected with SARS-CoV-2, the novel coronavirus that causes COVID-19, may develop Multisystem Inflammatory Syndrome in Children (MIS-C).[[27]](#footnote-27) This condition causes different parts of a child’s body, including the heart, lungs, kidneys, brain, skin, eyes, or gastrointestinal organs, to become inflamed[[28]](#footnote-28) and potentially leading to coronary aneurysm and toxic shock.[[29]](#footnote-29) Although MIS-C is currently seen as rare and treatable, if detected in time, hundreds of children in the United States, including at least 11 in California, have been hospitalized due to this syndrome, and several have died.[[30]](#footnote-30) Scientists are not yet able to predict which children are vulnerable to MISC-C, save being infected with COVID-19. However, those who develop it become profoundly ill, often ending up in the intensive care unit. [[31]](#footnote-31)

As of [CURRENT DATE], at least \_\_ youth “within a DJJ facility” have become infected with COVID-19 despite CDCR’s report that “DJJ is following isolation and quarantine protocols in accordance with Centers for Disease Control and Prevention guidance to address COVID-19.”[[32]](#footnote-32) Although the CDCR was able to keep DJJ free of COVID-19 until mid-June,[[33]](#footnote-33) it is now present in at least one of its youth facilities and only a matter of time before the Pandemic impacts all its youth facilities. All in all, continued confinement at DJJ places [CLIENT] at serious risk of serious harm.

[IF YOUR CLIENT IS MEDICALLY VULNERABLE, DISCUSS HERE, AND ARGUE INCREASE RISK OF HARM]

1. **Continued Confinement At DJJ Places [CLIENT] At Serious Risk Of Psychological and Emotional Harm**

Penal settings have limited options to implement the social distancing that is now required in response to the COVID pandemic. Such settings are likely to resort to solitary confinement. (Exhibit 2: Haney, ¶9) Section 208.3 provides an exception to the statutory prohibition of juvenile solitary confinement for more than four hours, “during an extraordinary, emergency circumstance that requires a significant departure from normal institutional operations, including a natural disaster or facility-wide threat that poses an imminent and substantial risk of harm to multiple staff, minors, or wards.” (§ 208.3(h).) In light of this statutory authorization, it is likely that youths will be subjected to extended, psychologically damaging, periods of solitary confinement.

The experience of solitary confinement inflicts an additional set of very serious harmful effects that significantly undermine mental and physical health. Children are categorically more vulnerable to harsh conditions of solitary confinement and which potentially results in irreversible mental and physical harm. (*Id*., at ¶11.) According to Dr. Haney,

The COVID-19 Pandemic will be a traumatic experience for many, especially for children. In the case of children housed in juvenile institutions, this trauma will affect an already highly traumatized population. In addition to the traumatic effects of incarceration itself for children, [footnote] and the added trauma produced by harsh conditions of juvenile confinement (such as solitary confinement), it is important to recognize that most incarcerated children have already experienced numerous childhood “risk factors” or “adverse childhood experiences.” [footnote.] Thus, juvenile incarceration represents a form of “retraumatization” for many of them. And even this retraumatization can be made worse, for example by placement in solitary confinement. It is thus hard to imagine a more vulnerable population whose very significant needs should be treated with the utmost sensitivity in the face of this Pandemic.

(Exhibit 2: Haney, ¶12)

 “The United States Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has acknowledged that the COVID-19 Pandemic poses a threat to the mental as well as physical health of the nation, especially to its children and teens.” (Exhibit 2: Haney, ¶13.) “Similarly, the World Health Organization (WHO) also has recognized that the COVID-19 poses an existential threat to the mental health of children.” (*Id*., at ¶14.) Haney catalogues the recommendations of the CDC and WHO for the appropriate way to address the needs of children. (*Id*., at ¶13-14.)

The COVID-19 Pandemic is a natural disaster that has already had a significant worldwide impact whose catastrophic effects are beginning to mount in the United States. The Pandemic has traumatic psychological as well as physical consequences. The consequences are especially severe for children who are not only experiencing the Pandemic but also trying to comprehend its magnitude and implications. They are seeking safety in an otherwise suddenly unsafe-feeling world. Not surprisingly, the CDC and WHO both recommend intense and expansive forms of family support, caring, and coping to ameliorate these traumatic effects. Yet this kind of familial support, caring, and coping is simply unavailable in (and in essence precluded by) juvenile institutions.

(Exhibit 2: Haney, ¶15.)

Dr. Haney concludes: “Thus, it should be obvious that few if any of the CDC or WHO recommendations for the appropriate way to address the needs of children in light of the present Pandemic can be effectively implemented in a secure juvenile facility.” (Exhibit 2: Haney, ¶16.) Haney opines “that returning incarcerated children to their families, where they can receive the kind of familial support that the CDC and WHO recommend, is the best possible course of action to take in response to the COVID-19 Pandemic.” (*Id*., at ¶18.)

 “No one is sure when this crisis will abate, and we are all feeling fear and uncertainty about the future. However, it is magnified for the families who are separated from their children because their children are incarcerated. The anxiety and emotional distress youth may feel when removed from the home and incarcerated is certainly exacerbated by the current pandemic.” (Exhibit 3: Physicians at p. 3.) “Many detention and correctional facilities have not communicated with youths’ parents, except to tell them they cannot visit.” (*Ibid*.) “[T]his vast lack of communication increases the uncertainty, anxiety, and fear on the part of families and their children.” (*Ibid*.) Children “with preexisting mental health conditions are among those ‘who many respond more strongly’ to the stress and fears associated with the outbreak of this disease. In essence, a preexisting mental health condition renders a youth more vulnerable to increased distress related to the current health crisis.” (*Ibid*.) “Research has consistently demonstrated the prevalence of mental health disorders among youth in the detention center is at least twice that of youth who are not detained. These uncertain times are traumatic for the country and the world. According to the American Academy of Pediatrics, ‘[c]hildren who suffer potentially traumatic events are more likely to develop lasting emotional problems if they are not with their parents – or are separated from their parents – immediately after the event.’ Allowing youth to ‘shelter in place’ with their families can potentially reduce the negative emotional impact that this global crisis may have on their current well-being and long-term adjustment.” (Exhibit 3: Physicians at p. 3.)

1. **[CLIENT] Can Be Safely Be Released To [Parent, Guardian, Family Member] [Under The Following Circumstances: List]**

Aftercare Plan

Upon [CLIENT]’s release, [AGENCY] staff [NAME OF AGENCY STAFF MEMBER] will assist in providing \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ services to address concerns with \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_. Such services will include integrated mental health and substance abuse to ensure a continuum of care. [CLIENT] would meet with a new case manager once a week, meet with [NAME OF AGENCY STAFF MEMBER] also once a week, and [NAME OF AGENCY STAFF MEMBER] will provide 1-on-1 coaching with [CLIENT]’s parents.

Education

[CLIENT] will begin his \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ year in the fall of 2020. To continue [his, her, their] schooling, [CLIENT] will enroll in Distance Learning, and if there is a delay, [he, she, they] can do independent studies through [RESOURCE] under the supervision of [SCHOOL DISTRICT] school counselor [COUNSELOR’S NAME].

Living Situation

Upon [his, her, their] release, [CLIENT] will reside with [his, her, their] parents at their house in [CITY]. Currently, [CLIENT]’s parents work, but [his, her, their] grandparents can supervise [CLIENT] at all times, when [CLIENT] returns home.

Additional Support

[CLIENT]’s probation officer [NAME] recommended a referral to [AGENCY] to match [CLIENT] with a mentor and provided a food resource guide to [CLIENT]’s mother. To keep [CLIENT] focused on his goals, a referral to [AGENCY] will be made to set [him, her, them] up with prosocial activities.

[DISCUSS PROPOSED NEW DISPOSITION IN DETAIL, AND REFERRING TO STATEMENTS IN YOUR DECLARATION]

(Exhibit 1: Declaration of ATTORNEY [hereafter, “ATTORNEY Decl.”], ¶#.)

1. **The Lack of In-Person Programming, and a Deficit of Any Type of Programming Being Offered to DJJ Youth, Due to COVID-19, Is a Deprivation of Treatment Which Constitutes a Changed Circumstance That Is Adverse to [CLIENT]’s Rehabilitation.**

Under Welfare and Institutes Code section 202, minors under the jurisdiction of the Juvenile Court, as a consequence of delinquent conduct “shall, in conformity with the interests of public safety and protection, receive care, treatment, and guidance that is consistent with their best interest, that holds them accountable for their behavior, and that is appropriate for their circumstances.” Any punishment must be consistent with the rehabilitative objectives outlined in Welfare and Institutes Code section 202.

Located in [CITY], the [NAME OF CLIENT’S FACILITY] is one of four juvenile detention facilities run by the Department of Juvenile Facilities of the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation.[[34]](#footnote-34) Under normal conditions, [NAME OF CLIENT’S FACILITY] offers an [DESCRIBE PROGRAMMING OFFERED AT THE FACILITY – see e.g. <https://www.cdcr.ca.gov/juvenile-justice/facility-locations/n-a-chaderjian/>].[[35]](#footnote-35) A youth’s length of stay is determined in large part by the offense that led to the youth’s commitment. (See Sections 30805 – 30813 of Title 9 of the California Code of Regulations.)

Treatment goals for each youth committed to the DJJ are developed for based on the outcome of the California Youth Assessment & Screening Instrument (CA-YASI), and the youth's committed offense.[[36]](#footnote-36) Based upon [CLIENT]’s CA-YASI findings at intake, it was recommended that he would benefit from the following interventions to address [his, her, their] identified high risk areas: [(1) – (#) LIST INTERVENTIONS RECOMMENDED IN CLIENT’S CA-YASI]. (Exhibit #: [YOUTH’s CA-YASI RECORD], ¶#.)

[CLIENT] has been in DJJ custody for over \_\_ months (Exhibit 1: [ATTORNEY DECL.], ¶#.) and so far all the programming [he, she, they] has/have been offered is \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ in addition to the continuing of the youth’s education via distance learning. (Exhibit #: [EMAIL OF CLIENT’S PAROLE OFFICER], ¶#; (Exhibit #: [EMAIL OF DEP. PRESS SECRETARY FOR DJJ/CDCR], ¶#.).)

Further, prior to COVID-19, youth at DJJ were able to participate in the following programs serviced by outside organizations, including:

[LIST PROGRAMMING OFFERED AT CLIENT’S FACILITY BEFORE PANDEMIC] (Exhibit #: [EMAIL OF COMMUNITY RESOURCES MANAGER AT CLIENT’S FACILITY], ¶#.)

Due to the COVID-19 Pandemic all in-person programming providing by outside service providers has been cancelled[[37]](#footnote-37) and a few programs are offered via videoconferencing such as: [LIST PROGRAMMING OFFERED AT CLIENT’S FACILITY BEFORE PANDEMIC] (Exhibit #: [EMAIL OF COMMUNITY RESOURCES MANAGER AT CLIENT’S FACILITY], ¶#.)

Family visitation is considered part of the treatment program as well; however, family visits are currently only done remotely.[[38]](#footnote-38) Monthly and seasonal events, such as outdoor activities, sports tournaments, and other athletic activities have also been suspended. (Exhibit #: [EMAIL OF COMMUNITY RESOURCES MANAGER AT CLIENT’S FACILITY, ¶#.) All other programs, based on information and belief, are led by parole officer staff and counselors within each unit at [NAME OF CLIENT’S FACILITY]. (Exhibit 7: [EMAIL OF CLIENT’S PAROLE OFFICER], ¶#.)

The purpose of all these components, amongst others, is to ensure the youth receives a continuum of care, or continued treatment and attention in areas where the youth may benefit from improvement. The ultimate goal of treatment programs is to rehabilitate the youth for a safe and law-abiding return to their communities. When youth are no longer receiving treatment via the full spectrum of programming DJJ normally offers or the opportunities to practice the skills learned from treatment, rehabilitation has been interrupted and there is no justification for continued detention. Without these intensive programs for the youth, the promise of treatment under Welfare and Institutions Code section 202 has been severely compromised. These changed circumstances are ultimately detrimental and adverse to a [YOUTH]’s rehabilitation, and what is left is an anxious and scared teen who is isolated from his family during a global health crisis.

**CONCLUSION**

 The COVID-19 Pandemic places [CLIENT] at serious risk of physical, psychological, and emotional harm, such that the DJJ commitment in this case fails to provide treatment consistent with section 734: DJJ will no longer probably benefit [CLIENT]. To the contrary, every day [CLIENT] spends at DJJ places him in great peril. His continued confinement makes it significantly more likely that he will contract the COVID-19 virus and become gravely ill, and/or spread it to someone else.

 Accordingly, this Court should vacate the DJJ commitment under section 779.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Respectfully submitted,

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Attorney for [CLIENT]

**DECLARATION OF [ATTORNEY]**

I, \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, declare:

1. I am the attorney appointed to represent \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ in this case.
2. [DISCUSS CURRENT DJJ DISPOSITION]
3. [DETAIL KNOWN RISKS OF PHYSICAL AND PSYCHOLOGICAL HARM OF DISPOSITION AND PARTICULAR VULNERABILITIES OF YOUTH]
4. [DISCUSS HOW YOUTH CAN BE SAFELY BE RELEASED TO PARENT, GUARDIAN, FAMILY MEMBER AND DETAIL THE CIRCUMSTANCES]

I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on [DATE], at [CITY OR COUNTY], California.

[Attorney signature] \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ [Attorney name] \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Attorney for [CLIENT]

Exhibit 1

Declaration of Marc Stern

Exhibit 2

Declaration of Craig W. Haney
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